

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/063,891	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Zachary A. Davis	Art Unit 2137	

All Participants: (1) Zachary A. Davis. (3) ____.

(2) Winston Hsu (applicant's representative). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 13 December 2006 **Status of Application:** Final rejection mailed

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
n/a

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 Examiner requested confirmation as to whether a reply had been filed to the outstanding final rejection mailed 24 May 2006. Mr. Hsu indicated that no reply had been filed.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)